

Ten Year Network Development Plan in relation to the European Infrastructure Package

Geert Moelker

Co-chair, ACER Infrastructure Task Force Vice-chair, ACER Electricity Working Group

Florence Forum

20-21 November 2012



The future PCI selection process needs a proper detailed methodology

EIP approved

DATA BASE

TYNDP 2012 EC questionnaires

EIP development process

DATA BASE

Future TYNDPs

Ad-hoc NRA assessment:

- •Limited data availability and quality
- •To be finalised end 2012

Future assessment:

 Urgent need to define additional data and analyses required from TYNDP 2014



The draft regulation foresees duties for regulators

The latest version (5139/12 REV 5, 31.7.2012) states:

For submitted projects ... national regulatory authorities, and if necessary the Agency, shall, where possible in the context of regional cooperation ... check the consistent application of the criteria/CBA methodology and evaluate their European added value. They shall present their assessment to the Group.



Regulators identified general requirements to fulfill these duties

Principles

Reduce subjective aspects

- Be consistent with rules for regulatory assessment
- Facilitate consistency
 Quantify as much in electricity and gas scenarios
- Build evaluation on uniform database and clear formula

2 Evaluation

- Consider both sides: cost and benefit
- Avoid interdependent criteria (no double counting)
- as possible
- Monetise as much as possible
- Apply discounting method

TYNDP

- Improved data collection (consistency, plausibility)
- Aligned ENTSO-E and **FNTSO-G** scenarios
- Reasonable clustering
- Improved insight into project interdependence
- More data on project level
- Improved costbenefit-analysis



Common understanding and interactions progressed well

- On-going trilateral discussion on proper cost-benefit analysis
- Multi-criteria analysis in TYNDP 2012 is a good starting point
- Awareness for relation between projects achieved
- Identification of future TYNDP as sole data base

Common targets

IEM / 20³ / SoS



... all require network development





Common understanding and interactions progressed well

- On-going trilateral discussion on proper cost-benefit analysis
 - EC's study within THINK
 - Regulators' study with Frontier
 - ENTSO-E public consultation & workshop
- Multi-criteria analysis in TYNDP 2012 is a good starting point
- Awareness for relation between projects achieved
 - Effected countries
 - Cluster versus single investments
 - Mutual interference
- Identification of future TYNDP as sole data base
 - Making use of ENTSO-E's modelling approach
 - Including 3rd party projects

Common targets

IEM / 20³ / SoS



... all require network development