## GERHARD BARTAK

Page 1/2

European Regulators' Group for Electricity and Gas 28 rue le Titien, B - 1000 Brussels Belgium

# <u>per E-Mail</u>

### smart\_metering@ergeg.org

3/9/10

ERGEG Public Consultation Paper on Draft Guidelines of Good Practice on Regulatory Aspects of Smart Metering for Electricity and Gas Ref: E10-RMF-23-03, 10 June 2010

Ladies, Sirs,

with regard to the above-mentioned Public Consultation document, I'd like to thank for the opportunity to contribute with a comment to a problem, which appears as being highly essential for a success-ful deployment of smart metering technology, but needing some urgent action to cope with problems building an obstacle for electricity suppliers' decisions on a possible roll-out.

As experiences from the past years in several European countries and following investigations have shown, smart meters (SM) with PLC transmission of data have been recognized

- as sources of EM disturbances to several sorts of other electrical equipment
- <u>as victims of EM disturbances</u> from other electrical equipment, resulting in wrong metering data.

It is essential to state that

- SM represent only an example for such verified EM interferences, occurring in a frequency range below 150 kHz, leaving open further sorts of equipment maybe prone to such disturbance effects
- PLC technology is applied for SM systems up to 80 % throughout Europe

Obviously, for certain shapes of voltage components as a result of related equipment operation, including SM, electromagnetic compatibility (EMC) is not ensured at present. As a background for these problems there appears the lack of normative limits for emissions as well as of immunity requirements for electrical equipment in the frequency range 2 kHz to 150 kHz – European as well as world-wide.

Such EM disturbance problems have been reported during several international conferences and are deal with in several publications [e. g. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9].

A solution through enhancement of the immunity of SM equipment, as sometimes proposed in related discussions, would not really solve the EMC problem as a whole, also and in particular not for SM.

#### Such a solution

- would not cover the EM disturbances caused by SM to other electrical equipment of whatever kind. To take mitigation methods only in a punctual way, i. e. in case of the occurrence of EM disturbances, would burden the electricity supplier with customers' complaints, financial efforts for mitigation and image loss.
- would generate the need for general additional efforts on immunity for SM without offering a solution for related EM disturbances to other electrical equipment – therefore offer only a part solution.

### When looking for support options

- for easing and broadening <u>proliferation of SM</u>, based on related management decisions on applier side,
- for a <u>friction-free application of SM systems</u>, from the applier's as well as from the customer's point of view

it appears as indispensable to care for a quick closure of the given and recognized gap in standardization, without delay.

### **Bibliography**

- [1] VWEW conference, 11<sup>th</sup> / 12<sup>th</sup> November, 2008, Weimar
- [2] 24<sup>th</sup> European Photovoltaic Solar Energy Conference and Exhibition, 21th to 25<sup>th</sup> September 2009, Hamburg, Germany: Results of the OPTINOS Project – Deficits and Uncertainties in Photovoltaic Inverter Test Procedures, J. KIRCHHOF, G. KLEIN, Fraunhofer IWES Institute for Wind Energy and Energy System Technology
- [3] 20<sup>th</sup> CIRED Conference, Prague, 8 11/09: Measurements of interaction between equipment in the frequency range 9 to 95 kHz. S. K. RÖNNBERG, M. WAHLBERG, M. H. J. BOLLEN, A. LARSSON, C. M. LUNDMARK
- [4] 20<sup>th</sup> CIRED Conference, Prague, 8 11/09: Measurements of Interaction between Equipment in the Frequency Range 9 to 95 kHz, S. RÖNNBERG, M. WAHLBERG, M. BOLLEN, A. LARSSON, M. LUNDMARK
- [5] Seibersdorf Laboratories. Test House for EMC: Certificate No. EMV-E 40/09 concerning disturbances from AMR systems to consumer products connected to the public electricity supply network (dimmer lamps, ceramic hobs), as of 14/10/09
- [6] EMC for 2 to 150 kHz. A proposal for emission and immunity of equipment including powerline communication. A. LARSSON and M. BOLLEN, October 2008
- [7] SMB Smart Grid Strategic Group, Meeting 19&20/11/09, Denver
- [8] CENELEC SC 205A Study Report on Electromagnetic Interference between Electrical Equipment / Systems in the Frequency Range below 150 kHz, SC205A/Sec0260/R, April 2010
- [9] IEC Smart Grid Standardization Roadmap, June 2010, p. 102&3

#### With best regards,

### Dipl.-Ing. Gerhard Bartak e. h.