

ERGEG Letter to storage users

Monitoring of the implementation of the Guidelines for Good Practice for Gas Storage System Operators (GGPSSO)

Introduction

The Guidelines for Good TPA Practice for Storage System Operators (GGPSSO) set out the minimum requirements for the provision of fair and non-discriminatory access to gas storage (in accordance to the Gas Directive¹). Monitoring implementation of the GGPSSO is therefore important in understanding how access to gas storage is being provided.

The European Commission requested the European Regulators Group for Electricity and Gas (ERGEG) to monitor the implementation of the Guidelines and report back to the next Madrid Forum on their findings.

This paper seeks views from storage users – be they actual storage users or potential storage users - on how the GGPSSO have been implemented by storage system operators (SSOs).

Invitation to comment

ERGEG is committed to open and transparent consultation. The GGPSSO were developed through consultation over a number of months. ERGEG has already sent out questionnaires in order to collect information from EU Storage System Operators (SSOs) and National Regulatory Authorities (NRAs). These questionnaires are published on the ERGEG website. Please note that responses to the questionnaires will also be published on the ERGEG web site at the end of June.

At this stage of the process, ERGEG would like to consult users, since they are actively participating in the storage market and therefore should provide the best insight as to whether and how the GGPSSO have been implemented or its provisions circumvented. Accordingly, ERGEG has prepared a list of questions for users.

¹ Directive 2003/55/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council concerning common rules for the internal market in natural gas and repealing Directive 98/30/EC



The results from the monitoring process will be crucial in identifying the effectiveness of TPA to storage and areas where the GGPSSO may be improved. Your response to this questionnaire is therefore important to the development of the EU regulatory framework.

Any responses should be received by 25 July 2005. They should be sent to:

Pierre-Marie Cussaguet / Claude-Albane Swanson

Commission de Régulation de l'Energie Direction du Gaz 2 rue du Quatre-Septembre 75084 Paris Cedex 02 France pierre-marie.cussaguet@cre.fr claude-albane.swanson@cre.fr

and/or

Rosita Carnevalini / Davide Giorgi

Autorità per l'energia elettrica e il gas Direzione Gas Piazza Cavour 5 20100 Milano Italy <u>rcarnevalini@autorita.energia.it</u> dgiorgi@autorita.energia.it

To help ensure transparency, responses to the questionnaire and other documents associated with the GGPSSO will be published by placing them on the ERGEG website (<u>http://www.ergeg.org</u>). **ERGEG will take into account any requests to keep information confidential. ERGEG encourages you to separate confidential information from information you are willing to be made public.**

Any questions on this document should in the first instance be directed to Pierre-Marie Cussaguet and/or Rosita Carnevalini (see addresses/email above).

ERGEG intends to publish an initial report on the implementation of the GGPSSO in September 2005, and it expects to present these findings at the next Madrid Forum – therefore, ERGEG intends to issue a final report on implementation after it has an opportunity to consider responses to its initial report and the views of delegates at Madrid.



Section1: open background questions

The objective of the monitoring of the GGPSSO is to find out whether and how the GGPSSO have been implemented. Questions addressing the actual compliance with the GGPSSO are presented in Section 2. However, the monitoring should also provide an overall view of the effectiveness of the GGPSSO. Therefore, the questions presented in Section 1 are designed to assess **how** the GGPSSO have been implemented and in particular whether the GGPSSO could be improved.

1.1 Do you believe implementation of the GGPSSO by SSOs has been effective?

In Italy there is a regulated regime since 2001, with standard contracts and non discriminatory access for all the users of the network. The Legislative Decree 164/2000 published in may 2000 obliged storage operators to give access to their facilities in a transparent and non discriminatory way to eligible clients, to manage in an integrated and co-ordinated manner the facilities they operate Furthermore, following the above mentioned Legislative Decree, Eni created a new separated legal entity, called STOGIT; dealing specifically with storage activity and legally separated from the network operator.

The Authority fixes Storage tariffs and access criteria, while the criteria for the different types of storage and the setting of rules for new storage developments are fixed by the Ministry of Productive Activities.

So the Guidelines had just a marginal effect on Italian Storage System, because many of the contents where already implemented by national law.

1.2 Apart from storage services, do you have sufficient access to other sources of flexibility in order to meet your commercial needs (e.g. hubs, production flexibility, import flexibility, long-term contracts, balancing market)? Can these other sources of flexibility be considered as effective substitutes to storage?

Eni has other sources of flexibility as import flexibility, production flexibility, long term contracts but none of those can be considered as an effective substitute of storage. In Italy the gas consumption and supply trends are mainly affected by the needs of residential market. In fact natural gas supply is fairly steady throughout the year, whereas demand varies seasonally, with winter high peak demands.

1.3 Please indicate if the adoption of the GGPSSO has had a positive impact on TPA services (GGPSSO requirements on "Necessary TPA services", chapter 3)?

As described in question 1.3, in Italy there is a regulated TPA.

1.4 Please indicate if the adoption of the GGPSSO has had a positive impact on storage capacity allocation/congestion management procedures (GGPSSO requirements on "Storage capacity allocation and congestion management", chapter 4)?

In Italy a pro-rata mechanism is already operating for capacity allocation and trade of capacity related to costumer switching, both aspects imposed by the Italian Authority with the Directive 26/02 of the AEEG.



1.5 Please indicate if the adoption of the GGPSSO has had a positive impact on transparency. Would you say that as a user, you are provided with sufficient and timely information in order to gain effective and efficient access to storage facilities? What data would you like to see published? Why would you need this additional information (GGPSSO requirements on "Transparency", chapter 6)?

YES from April 2005 up to now were published more information about the storage system and singular user situations compared to the past

- 1.6 In nTPA, if the main commercial conditions including the prices for standard services are published, is there consistency between your contract and these published conditions (GGPSSO requirements on "Tariff structure and derivation", chapter 7)?
- 1.7 Please indicate if the adoption of the GGPSSO has had a positive impact on secondary trade of storage capacity (GGPSSO requirements on "Secondary market", chapter 9)

see above question 1.4

1.8 Do you believe that there is a conflict between the requirements of the GGPSSO and national legislation that will materially affect either your interests or your ability to comply with the GGPSSO (GGPSSO Scope and Objective)? Please specify the nature of such conflict

no

- 1.9 If you have entered into a new storage contract after the adoption of the GGPSSO (18 March 2005), do you believe that there is a conflict between the requirements of the GGPSSO and certain clauses of your contract (e.g. publication of certain data, day-ahead release of non-nominated injectability and deliverability)? Please specify the nature of such conflict
- 1.10 Do you believe there are gaps in the GGPSSO? How could the effectiveness of third party access to storage be improved?



2 Section 2: monitoring of the implementation of the Guidelines for Good Practice for Gas Storage System Operators (GGPSSO)

These questions are designed to monitor implementation of the GGPSSO requirements due to be implemented from 1 April 2005.

If you are a customer/potential customers of several SSOs, the questionnaire should ideally be completed for each SSO

<u>General</u>

2.1 Business name of respondent: Eni S.p.A (Gas & Power Division)

2.2 Please specify if you are (several answers possible):

	tick
(a) a production company	\boxtimes
(b) a supply undertaking	\boxtimes
(c) a wholesale customer	
(d) a trader	
(e) a TSO	
(f) a DSO	
(g) a final customer	
(h) other (e.g. local utility, distribution company, retailer including public services)	

2.3 Please specify if you are:

	tick
(a) part of the same vertically integrated undertaking as to the SSO to which this questionnaire applies	
(b) a company with no relationship whatsoever with the SSO	
(c) a separate entity (i.e. legal unbundling)	\bowtie
See question 1.1	



2.4 If you have ticked the box for question 2.3 (a), can you confirm that there is a document setting out all the terms and conditions relating to storage use by the affiliate company and that this document is used (GGPSSO 1.3)?

	yes	no

2.5 Please provide the name/country of the SSO you are a customer of and to which this questionnaire applies

~ -		· — /	
ST	ſŊĠ	IT/	Italy

2.6 Do you own storage capacity rights in this storage system (date of reference: 1 April 2005)

yes	no
\boxtimes	

2.7 Please specify if you experienced any refusal of access in this storage system:

	yes	no
(a) before 1 April 2005		\boxtimes
(b) after 1 April 2005		

2.8 If the answer is "yes", what were the reasons for the refusal of access?

2.9 For the SSO you are a customer of, please indicate if:

		tick
(a)	you have signed the relevant storage code	
(b)	you have entered into a contract based on a standard storage contract	\boxtimes
(c)	you have entered into a contract in the absence of a standard storage contract, or in another sort of arrangement	



Roles and responsibilities of Storage System Operators

2.10 Please provide details on whether and how you were consulted by the SSO in developing a standard storage contract/storage code (GGPSSO 1.2.b)

Eni Gas & Power Division was informally consulted before the development of regulated storage system.

2.11 Please provide details on the guarantees you were asked to provide with respect to creditworthiness (GGPSSO 1.2.h)

The system user shall provide adequate evidence of financial solidity. This solidity is documented by the credit rating attributed by international agencies as follows:

• Baa3, if assigned by Moody's Investor Services;

• BBB-, if assigned by Standard & Poor's Corporation.

Otherwise, if the system user is unable to provide a credit rating, it's requested to ask for a first-request bank guarantee, issued by an Italian banking institution or by an Italian branch of a foreign bank, to guarantee fulfilment of all the obligations deriving from the contract.

Necessary TPA services

2.12 Are you obliged through a PSO to book storage capacity?

yes	no
\boxtimes	

2.13 Would you say that the menu of services offered on the primary market meets your needs, as far as the following criteria are concerned (GGPSSO 3.3, 3.5, 3.7, 3.8):

	yes	no
(a) size of standard bundled units (SBUs) appropriate	\square	
(b) no undue restrictions on the starting date and the prevailing physical flows (*)	\square	
(c) duration of contracts	\square	
(d) price	\square	
(*) There are special terms for different flows from the prevailing ones, that include different tariffs.		
(**) In relation with the criteria expressed in the art. 3.5 of the GGPSSO, the day- ahead release of non-nominated injectability and deliverability is not compatible with the italian balancing system, where allocation can't be equal to nomination.		

2.14 If any of these criteria (question 2.13 (a) – (d)) is not met, please state why. Which improvements would be necessary to meet your demand?



2.15 Is there an additional charge if you inject or withdraw at a time of the year when the prevailing physical flows are in the other direction (GGPSSO 3.7)?

yes	no
\boxtimes	

2.16 If the answer is "yes", is the additional cost "unjustified" or "justified" in your opinion (GGPSSO 3.7)? Please provide details

Justified

2.17 What is the timeframe for solving capacity booking requests (based on your experience) (GGPSSO 3.9)?

In Italy the capacity booking request is done once a year and it takes less than one month.

2.18 What is the timeframe for solving other requests (please specify) (GGPSSO 3.9)?

In Italy there are standard conditions with defined timeframe almost for all the requests.

2.19 Does the SSO respond in a time frame compatible with your reasonable commercial needs (GGPSSO 3.9)?

		yes	no
(a)	capacity booking requests?	\boxtimes	
(b)	other requests?	\boxtimes	

2.20 If the answer is "no", please provide details (GGPSSO 3.9)



Storage capacity allocation and congestion management

2.21 Does the storage capacity allocation mechanism applied by the SSO meet all the requirements below (GGPSSO 4.1):

		tick
(a)	it facilitates the development of competition and liquid trading of storage capacity (GGPSSO 4.1.a)	\boxtimes
(b)	it is compatible with market mechanisms including spot markets and trading hubs (GGPSSO 4.1.a)	\boxtimes
(c)	it does not create undue barriers to market entry (GGPSSO 4.1.c)	\boxtimes
(d)	it does not prevent new market entrants and companies with a small market share from competing effectively (GGPSSO 4.1.c)	\boxtimes
(e)	it does not prevent customers from changing suppliers at any time of the year (GGPSSO 4.3)	\boxtimes

2.22 If any of these criteria (question 2.21 (a) – (e)) is not met, please explain why (GGPSSO 4.1)

2.23 Do the congestion management procedures applied by the SSO meet all the requirements below (GGPSSO 4.2):

		tick
(a)	they facilitate the development of competition and liquid trading of storage capacity (GGPSSO 4.1.a)	\boxtimes
(b)	they are compatible with market mechanisms including spot markets and trading hubs (GGPSSO 4.1.a)	\boxtimes
(c)	they do not create undue barriers to market entry (GGPSSO 4.1.c)	\boxtimes
(d)	they do not prevent new market entrants and companies with a small market share from competing effectively (GGPSSO 4.1.c)	\boxtimes
(e)	they do not prevent customers from changing suppliers at any time of the year (GGPSSO 4.3)	\boxtimes

2.24 If any of these criteria (question 2.23 (a) – (e)) is not met, please explain why (GGPSSO 4.2)



Transparency requirements

2.25 Does the SSO provide you with sufficient and timely information in order to gain effective and efficient access to storage (GGPSSO 6.5)?

yes	no
\boxtimes	

2.26 If the answer is "no", please explain why (GGPSSO 6.5)

2.27 Have you ever made a request to the SSO not to publish some information (please specify which data), because it would harm your commercial interests (GGPSSO 6.2)? ²

[confidential]

Tariff structure and derivation

Where regulated

2.28 Do you think that the tariff structure of the SSO promotes efficient commercialisation and use of storage (GGPSSO 7.1.d)?

yes	no
\boxtimes	

2.29 If the answer is "no", please explain why (GGPSSO 7.1.d-f)

Where negotiated

² answers to this question will be treated as confidential



2.30 Charges (GGPSSO 7.2):

		tiCK
(a)	do not restrict market liquidity of storage capacity (GGPSSO 7.2)	
(b)	do not create undue barriers to market for new entrants (GGPSSO 7.2)	
(c)	promote efficiency and facilitates competition in the use of storage services (GGPSSO 7.2.b)	

2.31 If any of these criteria (question 2.30 (a) – (c)) is not met, please explain why (GGPSSO 7.2)

2.32 Are charges negotiated in a time frame compatible with your reasonable commercial needs (GGPSSO 7.2.d)?

yes	no

2.33 If the answer is "no", please explain why (GGPSSO 7.2.d)

Storage penalties

2.34 Where they are established, storage penalties (GGPSSO 8.2)

 tick

 (a) are proportionate
 Image: Comparison of the properties of the extent possible, whilst providing incentives for the appropriate use of storage capacity
 Image: Comparison of the extent possible, whilst providing incentives for the appropriate use of storage capacity

 (d) (*) Penalties provide incentives for the appropriate use of storage, but we don't have elements to establish if these penalties are cost reflective or not.
 Image: Comparison of the extent possible, whilst providing incentives for the appropriate use of storage, but we don't have elements to establish if these penalties are cost reflective or not.

2.35 If any of these criteria (question 2.34 (a) – (c)) is not met, please explain why (GGPSSO 8.2)



Secondary market

2.36 What kind of services can be traded on the secondary market (GGPSSO 9.1) ?

		yes	no
Ĩ	(a) standard bundled units (SBUs)	\boxtimes	
	(b) unbundled services	\boxtimes	

2.37 Do you trade storage capacity on the secondary market (GGPSSO 9)?

yes	no
	\boxtimes

2.38 If not, please state why (GGPSSO 9) ?

	tick
(a) not possible	
(b) possible but difficult in practice	
(c) not interested in secondary trading of storage capacity	\boxtimes
(d) other (please specify)	

2.39 Please provide details on how secondary trading of storage capacity is facilitated by the SSO (GGPSSO 9.1)

Trade of storage capacity is possible in case of parties agreement or in case of final customer switching (If a user loose a final costumer is obliged to give the relative booked capacity to the new comer)



Interoperability

2.40 Does your SSO ensure interoperability between the storage system and the transmission system (GGPSSO 10.1)?

		tick
(a)	services offered are consistent with those offered by the adjacent TSO	\square
(b)	operational procedures, such as nominations, are compatible with those of the adjacent TSO	\boxtimes
(c)	re-nomination procedures meet market participants' requirements	
(d)	relevant storage arrangements are matched in consistency with the balancing requirements of the adjacent transmission system	\boxtimes
(e)	other	

2.41 If any of this item (question 2.40 (a) – (e)) is not met, please provide comments based on your experience (GGPSSO 10.1)

In the Italian balancing regime is not possible the re-nomination