

CEER's future role of gas report and EU gas legislative package

Clara Poletti

14th EU-US Energy Regulators' Roundtable

18 March 2019

Fostering energy markets, empowering **consumers**.



Evolution of the energy sector

- The energy sector is undergoing profound change driven by decarbonisation and digitalization
- The new EU Clean Energy Package (CEP) addressed issues on the electricity market design
- Now attention in Europe is to:
 - Improving the **internal gas market** and
 - sector coupling: common issues between the sectors and possible implications for market functioning and infrastructure.
- A proposal for a **New EU Gas Package** is under discussion





EU Gas model: a success

The EU Gas Model, still under implementation, has been already **successful** in:

- Increasing liquidity in the gas markets
- Making hub price signals stronger
- Reducing the hub price spreads
- Creating a functioning market-based balancing system
- Defining a frameworks for investment and congestion management





But there are new challenges

- **Decarbonization** target: *risk of for the gas sector to became marginal if green technologies are not deployed*
- End of long term capacity contracts: risk of hub spread increasing
- Possible reduction (also locally) of gas demand: risk of sustainability of some infrastructure
- Reduction of European gas production: risk of increasing dependency form non-European countries
- Sustainability of the LNG/storage infrastructures: risk of decreasing security of supply





Work of the EU Regulators

- Regulators' priorities: decarbonisation at least cost, improved resilience and consumer/system benefits
- CEER will publish soon a consultation document on the Regulatory Challenges for a Sustainable Gas sector
- On 3 areas:

Regulatory challenges for renewable gases



Adapting the Gas Market Design





Scope of Network Operator Activities

- In a number of EU countries, TSOs/DSOs are (or aim to be) involved in CNG/LNG fueling and power-to-gas infrastructures
- **Problem of compatibility with current unbundling** rules that do not allow TSO/DSO to be involve in production/supply activities

- The regulatory framework should be technology neutral but allow for flexibility in order to develop pilot and demonstration projects
- Apply similar provisions of the CEP regarding involvement of electric DSOs/TSOs on storage/refueling station: activity allowed only and until the market is not able to provide them





Regulation of hydrogen networks in the future

- In most EU countries, existing hydrogen pipelines are mainly owned by companies which produce gases for industrial purposes
- If hydrogen were to be developed on a large scale, it could be appropriate to define a regulatory framework for hydrogen infrastructure

Proposal

 A flexible and dynamic approach is necessary to ensure that regulation can kick-in once the economic characteristics require regulation



Role and Tariffication of Power-to-gas Infrastructures

 Electricity and gas tariff systems do not acknowledge any specific role to power-to-gas assets

- Ensuring that the national regulatory frameworks do not create distortions to the efficient deployment and use of these technologies
- If installations are effectively used as electricity storages, they may be subject to provisions similar to those applied to the other electricity storage technologies





The Strategic Importance of TYNDP Development

 Given the significant uncertainties on the evolution of the demand for gas/the gas sector in the long run, new investment decisions shall be carefully assessed

- Stronger oversight by ACER and NRAs:
 - ENTSOG Ten Year Network Development Programs (TYNDP)
 - CBA methodology and underlying scenarios





 Lack of coherence in some areas of EU legislation regarding infrastructure development

- Better coordination between
 - the CAM Network Code that defines rules on incremental capacity approach for new investments based on market tests
 - and the Projects of Common Interest processes which is based on CBA methodology





- Gas consumption may decrease, at least locally, some infrastructures could be less used
- The possible decommissioning of gas infrastructures might have cross-border impacts

- NRAs could consider designing regulatory tools to deal with the risk of stranded assets.
- A coordinated framework for the decommissioning of cross-border assets might be needed





Regulation of Access to Infrastructures

- Tariff in EU are paid by shippers at the entry/exit of market zones and level is fixed to recover all costs
- The decrease of demand and termination of long term contracts may justify amending network tariff designs
 - In entry-exit zones, the decrease of flows could lead to tariff increases and, possibly, issues of cost-recovery
 - If not compensated by supply diversification and competition, price spreads could increase and incorporate the cost of transmission
 - In some areas, the market could be **less liquid and more fragmented**

- A careful **bottom-up approach** would be appropriate
- Some interconnection points could be eliminated, which could require implementing inter-TSO compensations (ITCs)
- But ITCs are complex

