



***“The European Commission’s legislative proposals  
(3rd Package): Regulators’ view”***

**José Braz, Commissioner, ERSE (Portugal)**

**Session 1: Effective Regulation and Unbundling**

---

**EU-US Energy Regulators Roundtable**

**Athens, 5 December 2007**

## Topics of this presentation

---

- **Background to 3rd Package**
- **Main areas of legislation**
- **The Commission's 3rd Package proposals on:**
  - **Ownership unbundling**
  - **The ISO model**
  - **Retail Markets**
- **Regulators' views – current CEER thinking**

## Background to 3rd Package

---

- **Preparatory work by European Commission**
  - Benchmarking reports and Green Paper
  - Progress report and Sector Inquiry of 10 January 2007
  - Urgent need to strengthen competition and complete internal market
- **Main shortcomings found**
  - Vertical integration
  - Lack of market integration
  - Lack of TSO cooperation
  - Regulatory gap
  - High degree of market concentration
  - Lack of transparency
  - Different powers and competences of national regulators energy regulators

## The main areas of the legislation

---

- **Effective unbundling of networks**
- **Transparency**
- **Cooperation of network operators**
- **National regulators**
- **EU Regulatory Agency**
- **Access to Storage and LNG**
- **Solidarity**
- **Retail market & consumer protection**

# Effective Unbundling

---

- **Two options**
  - (1) Ownership unbundling of TSO
  - (2) Independent system operator (ISO) -> “Deep ISO” model
  
- ***Option 1: Ownership unbundling***
  - Supply companies must not have any interest in network operators (and vice versa)
  
  - In a nutshell: network operators can no longer be affiliated or be part of a group which is also active in supply, generation and production
  
  - It remains possible to have minority stakes in both supply and network companies

## Effective Unbundling

---

- ***Option 1: Ownership unbundling (cont.)***
  - Impact assessment based on experience in Member States with ownership unbundling
  - No evidence for some negative assumptions:
    - On average, positive effects on investment, prices and market concentration
    - Example: share of congestion revenues that was reinvested in interconnection higher for unbundled network operators than for vertically integrated companies.
    - On average, no negative effects on technical operation, company value and credit ratings

## Effective Unbundling - ISO

---

- ***Option 2: Independent system operator (ISO)***
  - Possible second-best approach: Member States may allow for derogation from ownership unbundling provided that an Independent System Operator (ISO) is designated
  - Transmission assets may remain with the vertically integrated company
  - But technical and commercial operation of those assets by ISO
  - ISO has a say in investment decision
  - Requirement that ISO is fully independent from any supply or production interests.

## Effective Unbundling - ISO

---

- ***Option 2: Independent system operator (ISO) (cont.)***
  - Additional requirements in the case of ISO
    - implies increased regulatory control because ISO create additional interface (between asset ownership and asset operation)
  - Both options – ownership unbundling and ISO
    - Apply in the same way to gas and electricity
    - Apply equally to private and public companies
    - Require certification
    - Apply equally to EU and Third country companies



## Retail Market & Consumer protection

---

- **Objective:**
  - Establish real choice for final consumers
  - Creation of EU-wide retail market
  - Create energy awareness
  
- **Measures:**
  - Strengthen rights of consumers
    - Better access to information on consumption
    - Right to switch supplier any time
    - Settlement of bill one month after switch
  
  - More frequent reading of meters (smart metering)
  - Establishment of a Retail Forum
  - Stronger DSO Unbundling (mandate to draft guidelines)

## Current CEER thinking

---

- **Unbundling / ISO**
  - **Strong support for ownership unbundling** as, in principle, most effective way to ensure market integration and avoid conflicts of interest between market segments (production, supply) and natural monopolies (networks)
  - Acknowledgement that **unbundling not a panacea** – many other impediments to network investments remain and need attention: licensing, environment concerns
  - **Serious doubts about** possible effectiveness of **ISO model** – requires much more intrusive regulation to ensure that operational decisions are not influenced by shareholders' own interests
- **Retail Markets / Consumer Protection**
  - **Strong support for proposals** and corresponding increased powers of NRAs to monitor retail markets and ensure service quality
  - Ample **debate** on technical options for (and costs of) **smart metering**
  - DSO unbundling **presently not called for** – **further debate needed**



**José Braz, ERSE (Portugal)**